EXHIBIT L

[FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 04/11/2012]

INDEX NO. 651089/2010 NYSCEF DOC. NO. 635e 1:19-cv-05641-VSB Document 45-12 Filed 03/30/20 REGION ON PROCEED ON PROCEDURE ON PROCEDU

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK: PART 12

ARIE GENGER and ORLY GENGER, in her individual capacity and on behalf of THE ORLY GENGER 1993 TRUST,

Plaintiffs,

Index No. 651089-10

-against-

SAGI GENGER, TPR INVESTMENT ASSOCIATES, INC., DALIA GENGER, THE SAGI GENGER 1993 TRUST, ROCHELLE FANG, individually and as trustee of THE SAGI GENGER 1993 TRUST, GLENCLOVA INVESTMENT COMPANY, TR INVESTORS, LLC, NEW TR EQUITY I, LLC, NEW TR EQUITY II, LLC, JULES TRUMP, EDDIE TRUMP AND MARK HIRSCH,

<u>ORDER</u> Motion Sequence Numbers 012 and 013

Defendants.

PAUL G. FEINMAN, J:

The instant motions, brought on by orders to show cause assigned motion sequence numbers 012 and 013, respectively, are filed by plaintiff Orly Genger, in her individual capacity and on behalf of the Orly Genger 1993 Trust, seek temporary restraining orders and preliminary injunctions against the defendants named in the above-captioned action, from proceeding with that certain action commenced in the Delaware Chancery Court entitled Dalia Genger as Trustee of the Orly Genger 1993 Trust v. TR Investors LLC et al (the Delaware Action), in which Dalia Genger sought a declaratory judgment with respect to the beneficial ownership of the Orly Trust shares. On October 26, 2011 and November 9, 2011, after hearing from counsel for the plaintiff and the defendants,

this Court issued temporary restraining orders (the TROs) enjoining the defendants from proceeding with, or otherwise making any motion in or concerning, the Delaware Action until further order of this Court. The TROs were issued to maintain the status quo in this matter, pending a decision of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (the Federal Court), which is anticipated to, inter alia, determine the proper forum or jurisdiction for hearing and adjudicating the beneficial interest in the disputed shares (the Federal Court Decision). Inasmuch as the Federal Court Decision has not yet been issued, the instant motions are held in abeyance, pending the Federal Court's resolution of the jurisdictional issue.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the temporary restraining orders dated October 26, 2011 and November 9, 2011 shall continue pending further order of this Court; and it is further

ORDERED that the parties are directed to notify the court when the Federal Court Decision has issued in order that this court may finally resolve the motions hereby held in abeyance. Saul H Fernina

Dated: April 9, 2012